

## RTI Problem-Solving Team Meeting 'Quality Indicators': A Checklist

Sch	ool:	Date:	Student (Initials):
		necklist at the conclusion of your initial RTI Team me	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
agai	nst the 'quality indic	cators' listed below. Note your RTI Team's relative si	trengths / areas in need of improvement.
RTI	Team Meeting 'Qu	uality Indicator'	NOTES
1.	Introductions/Statintroductions (e.g.,	tement of Purpose Stated. The meeting opened wind names of those attending and their 'RTI Team' roles urpose, goal(s), and expected length of time for the	
	already defined in a meeting (because	y Defined in Advance. The student problem(s) wer clear and specific terms at the start of the RTI Team the Case Manager had met in advance with the f necessary, teacher concerns were prioritized and than two.	
3.		Assigned. Team members effectively assumed the	
4.	RTI Team Meeting this problem-solvin  Step 1: Assess Step 2: Invento Step 3: Reviev Step 4: Select Step 5: Set Ac for Progress-M Step 6: Desigr Step 7: Plan H Parent(s)	s Teacher Concerns ory Student Strengths/Talents w Background/Baseline Data Target Teacher Concerns cademic and/or Behavioral Outcome Goals and Meth	
	0.0	d with few interruptions or digressions.	
	Sufficient Data Collected. There was sufficient academic and behavioral data presented at the meeting to allow the RTI Team to adequately understand the student problem(s). (This data included existing information from the school database and/or additional data such as direct observations or student academic assessment collected prior to the meeting.)		
6.	Intervention Plan intervention progra	Built from Research-Based Elements. The lms and/or ideas recommended by the RTI Team to nt concern are supported by research.	

RTI	Team Meeting 'Quality Indicator'	NOTES		
7.	Progress-Monitoring Plan Developed. A plan was developed to monitor			
	the student's progress while on intervention. The plan required at least			
	weekly progress-monitoring. It also incorporated source(s) of data that are			
	reliable and valid—and included both a baseline reading of student			
	performance and a clear post-intervention goal for student improvement.			
8.	Student Input Solicited. If appropriate, the school collected information			
	from the student to better understand the presenting problem(s) by:			
	☐ interviewing the student at a pre-meeting (e.g., with the teacher or			
	school counselor), and/or			
	☐ inviting the (middle or high school) student to participate in the RTI			
	Team meeting.			
9.	<b>Teacher Participation Encouraged.</b> At least one teacher who works with			
	the student attended the RTI Team meeting. Referring teacher(s) at the			
	meeting were made to feel welcome, clearly understood the purpose of the			
	meeting, were encouraged to share their views, and fully participated in the			
	RTI problem-solving process.			
10.	Meeting Information Recorded. All relevant intervention information			
	shared at the RTI Team meeting was accurately recorded. The RTI Team			
	expected to get a completed copy of the intervention plan by the end of the			
	day to all educators participating in the student's RTI intervention plan.			
11.	Follow-Up Meeting Scheduled. At the conclusion of the initial RTI Team			
	meeting, the team and referring teacher(s) scheduled a follow-up meeting			
	within a reasonable span of time (e.g., 6-8 weeks) to review the student's			
	intervention progress.			